

Q



GARY R. HERBERT  
Governor

GREG BELL  
Lieutenant Governor

# State of Utah

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER  
Executive Director

### Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA  
Division Director

## Inspection Report

Permit Number:	C0430008
Inspection Type:	COMPLETE
Inspection Date:	Tuesday, July 31, 2012
Start Date/Time:	7/31/2012 11:30:00 AM
End Date/Time:	7/31/2012 12:30:00 PM
Last Inspection:	Thursday, August 04, 2011

Inspector: Joe Helfrich

Weather: overcast 70 slight breeze

InspectionID Report Number: 3181

Accepted by: jhelfric

8/1/2012

Permittee: **SUMMIT COAL CO**

Operator: **SUMMIT COAL CO**

Site: **BOYER MINE**

Address: ,

County: **SUMMIT**

Permit Type: **PERMANENT COAL PROGRAM**

Permit Status: **RECLAIMED**

#### Current Acreages

129.00	<b>Total Permitted</b>
7.00	<b>Total Disturbed</b>
	<b>Phase I</b>
	<b>Phase II</b>
	<b>Phase III</b>

#### Mineral Ownership

- ☐ Federal
- ☐ State
- ☐ County
- ☒ Fee
- ☐ Other

#### Types of Operations

- ☐ Underground
- ☐ Surface
- ☐ Loadout
- ☐ Processing
- ☐ Reprocessing

#### Report summary and status for pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Division Orders, and amendments:

The purpose of this site visit was to conduct an annual bond forfeiture site inspection and determine if the Division can terminate jurisdiction under the Title V coal program. Mining was conducted on this site as early as 1897. The reclaimed site is located approximately 11 miles east of Coalville, Utah, in Summit County. Summit Coal Company proposed to operate a small underground mine and disturbed about six acres in preparation to mine coal. However, this mine never operated and the bond was forfeited. Bond forfeiture proceedings for the Boyer Mine were initiated June 23, 1989, and the Boyer Mine permit was revoked by the Division of Oil, Gas, and Mining August 4, 1989. The portals were sealed under separate contract October 5, 1990. Inspections continued on a monthly basis through September of 1994. Inspections are now conducted annually. Reclamation activities administered by the AMR program commenced on November 3, 1994 and were completed April 17, 1995.

The results of the inspection indicate that the site is stable, vegetation is established and there are no off site impacts. A recommendation of termination of jurisdiction is appropriate pending concurrence with the land owner.

Inspector's Signature

*Joe Helfrich*  
Joe Helfrich,  
Inspector ID Number: 1

Date

Wednesday, August 01, 2012

Note: This inspection report does not constitute an official statement of compliance with the regulatory program of the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining.  
telephone (801) 538-5340 • facsimile (801) 359-3940 • TTY (801) 538-7458 • [www.ogm.utah.gov](http://www.ogm.utah.gov)



Permit Number: C0430008  
 Inspection Type: COMPLETE  
 Inspection Date: Tuesday, July 31, 2012

## Inspection Continuation Sheet

Page 2 of 4

### REVIEW OF PERMIT, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS PERMIT CONDITION REQUIREMENTS

1. Substantiate the elements on this inspection by checking the appropriate performance standard.
  - a. For COMPLETE inspections provide narrative justification for any elements not fully inspected unless element is not appropriate to the site, in which case check Not Applicable.
  - b. For PARTIAL inspections check only the elements evaluated.
2. Document any noncompliance situation by reference the NOV issued at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
3. Reference any narratives written in conjunction with this inspection at the appropriate performance standard listed below.
4. Provide a brief status report for all pending enforcement actions, permit conditions, Divison Orders, and amendments.

	Evaluated	Not Applicable	Comment	Enforcement
1. Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Signs and Markers	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Topsoil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.c Hydrologic Balance: Other Sediment Control Measures	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.d Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4.e Hydrologic Balance: Effluent Limitations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Explosives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Disposal of Excess Spoil, Fills, Benches	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Coal Mine Waste, Refuse Piles, Impoundments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Noncoal Waste	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Slides and Other Damage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Contemporaneous Reclamation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12. Backfilling And Grading	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Revegetation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Subsidence Control	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15. Cessation of Operations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.a Roads: Construction, Maintenance, Surfacing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16.b Roads: Drainage Controls	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
17. Other Transportation Facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
18. Support Facilities, Utility Installations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
19. AVS Check	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
20. Air Quality Permit	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
21. Bonding and Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
22. Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**1. Permits, Change, Transfer, Renewal, Sale**

The permit was revoked when the bond was forfeited in 1989.

**2. Signs and Markers**

A 'No Trespassing' sign is located at the entrance to the property. As there are no topsoil stockpiles or intermittent / perennial streams located within the permit area, topsoil and stream buffer zone signs were not required.

**3. Topsoil**

All salvageable topsoil was used in the recalculation of the sight.

**4.a Hydrologic Balance: Diversions**

Two natural drainageways were diverted during the operational phase of the mine. One drainage located on the western edge of the permit area and the other on the eastern edge. The natural, un-disturbed drainages that were diverted and subsequently reclaimed, did not show signs of excessive erosion, gulying or cutting. No off-site impacts were observed in connection with these drainages. The larger diversion located along the eastern portion of the permit area was observed to be in good condition. During the operational phase of the mine, a 36" culvert had been installed to divert undisturbed drainage under the mine-site. Upon reclamation, the culvert was removed and the channel was fortified with filter blanket, riprap and then backfilled. During the time of the inspection, this drainage channel was observed to be stable and well vegetated. As with the eastern most drainage diversion, the western diversion was reclaimed in the same manner (i.e. fortified with filter blanket, riprap and backfilled). The western drainage was observed to be stable and well vegetated. No signs of excessive erosion or cutting were noted during the inspection of the western-most drainage channel. The vegetation on the site looks good and appears to be adequate to control erosion as evidenced by a recent storm observed by the adjacent landowner, (Brent Boyer). The grasses and forbs in the main channel were matted down and some debris from above the reclaimed site was deposited along the edges of the channel. The 24" culvert at the end of the channel was clean.

**4.b Hydrologic Balance: Sediment Ponds and Impoundments**

The location of the former sediment pond was observed at the time of the inspection. The sediment pond has been backfilled and vegetated. The area of the sediment pond is stable and does not show evidence of erosion, gulying or slumping. Some sediment from the hill side above the pond generated during a recent storm has filtered its way through the vegetation and into the pond. No impacts to the pond were noted.

**4.d Hydrologic Balance: Water Monitoring**

The potable water well was located near the main entrance to the mine is surrounded by rip rap. The well is covered with a steel cap and is locked.

**9. Protection of Fish, Wildlife and Related Environmental Issues**

The Boyer Mine Site does not appear to present any potential for impacting fish or wildlife. As previously outlined, the site is stable. The established vegetation and soil reclamation work appears to have been successful at preventing off-site impacts from occurring.

**10. Slides and Other Damage**

**12. Backfilling And Grading**

No evidence of slumping or movement was observed during the inspection. The areas that had been backfilled at the locations of the portals were stable. No signs of erosion and or soil movement were observed.

**13. Revegetation**

The vegetation on the site looks good and appears to be adequate to control erosion as evidenced by a recent storm observed by the adjacent landowner, (Brent Boyer). The grasses and forbs in the main channel were matted down and some debris from above the reclaimed site was deposited along the edges of the channel. There appears to be better vegetation on the reclaimed site than on the adjacent areas. There are some skeletons of weeds in a few areas at the mine (Musk Thistle and White top). These noxious species would probably be on the site whether it had been mined or not due to invasion from adjacent land. Other species observed included western wheat grass, slender wheat grass, Kentucky blue grass, goat's beard, yellow sweet clover, snake weed, gumweed, bitter brush, rabbit brush, four wing salt bush, mountain brome and indian rice grass. A copy of the seed mix used for reclamation is available for reference in the AMR files, (AMR/043/909).